

Neill Bowler

9:21 AM

With option 2 it appears that the filter is explicitly setting the observations to have the diagnostic flag values. Therefore a filter could apply the flag to some, but not all, of the observations it considers. For instance a modifier of winds could mark some of the observations as unfolded and some as not? I got the impression that this is not possible in option 1? (I don't know if this is a good thing or not)

Andrew Lorenc

9:24 AM

If an ob is truly passive, it cannot be used to determine VarBC coeffs, which depend on Jo using the obs. (But it may be corrected itself, using the background coeffs).

Yannick Tremolet

9:26 AM

@Andrew: true, we would have passive and "semi-passive" or any better name.

Anna Shlyueva

9:27 AM

Option 2: we could also replace the current QC flags (ObsDataVector<int>) in the filters interface with the proposed QC flag manager, which would include both the current simple flags + user defined flags.

Anna Shlyueva

9:29 AM

I don't have a strong preference either way.

Ryan Honeyager

9:29 AM

I'm fine with starting with option 1.