Sonic tilt corrections

(This is an email from Katherine McCaffrey at NOAA.)

```
Hi all,
```

```
Here are the [b0 b1 b2] values:
nw = [0.0103 0.0225 0.0041]
se = [-0.0088 \ 0.0107 \ -0.0133]
nw = [-0.0042 0.0261 0.0041]
se = [-0.0258 \ 0.0012 \ 0.0060]
150m
nw = [-0.0043 \ 0.0197 \ 0.0140]
se = [-0.0169 \ 0.0011 \ -0.0038]
200m
nw = [-0.0046 \ 0.0134 \ -0.0074]
se = [-0.0059 0.0045 -0.0059]
250m
nw = [0.0156 \ 0.0133 \ 0.0064]
se = [-0.0126 \ 0.0027 \ 0.0162]
300m
nw = [0.0866 0.0112 0.0183]
se = [0.0692 -0.0097 0.0019]
Thanks for running the corrections and statistics, Steve! I'll write up a little blurb to put on the blog about how the tilt correction method works.
Cheers,
Katie
On July 9 Katherine sent an email with revised tilts for the NW sonics and the tilts for the flux stations:
Hi Gordon, I have the planar fit coefficients for the flux stations below, as well as a corrected version of the northwest booms' tilts (I found an error in it).
The southeast sonics are unchanged.
Sorry for the delay - confusion with Tom Horst, so I ended up taking out 45 degrees on either side of the boom as you'd suggested!
```

50m_nw: -0.0092 0.0216 0.0044 100m_nw: -0.0014 0.0268 0.0040 250m_nw: 0.0106 0.0107 0.0065 300m_nw: 0.0622 0.0061 0.0130

50m_se: -0.0088 -0.0107 -0.0133 (note the sign change on b1, this one is correct)

200m se: -0.0059 0.0045 -0.0059 300m_se: 0.0692 -0.0097 0.0019 5m_bao: -0.0064 -0.0087 0.0010